Advantage One: Hegemonic Disruption

Failure by Congress to curtail executive targeted killing programs has given them a blank check under the AUMF.

Rosa Brooks – 2013 (Professor of Law, Georgetown University Law Center) "The Law of Armed Conflict, the Use of Military Force, and the 2011 Authorization for Use of Military Force" http://scholarship.law.georgetown.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1115%26context=cong 7/26/2013 –IM—

The key subsequent move in the executive branch~’s gradual expansion of the scope of the

AND

to the AUMF has enabled the executive to read Congressional silence as approval.

Lack of restrictions has lead to US military overuse of drones.

Rosa Brooks – 2013 –(Professor of Law, Georgetown University Law Center) "The Constitutional and Counterterrorism Implications of Targeted Killing Testimony Before the Senate Judiciary Subcommittee on the Constitution, Civil Rights, and Human Rights" http://scholarship.law.georgetown.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1115%26context=cong 7/26/2013 —IM—

It is of course not a bad thing to possess military technologies that are cost

AND

Yemen to Somalia (and perhaps to Mali and the Philippines as well).

Current RMA military paradigm makes the US highly vulnerable to several asymmetric warfare attack scenario

[[Daniel Harper-http://www.e-ir.info/author/daniel-harper/]] -2013-(Cabinet Office Policy Advisory-[[University of Nottingham-http://www.linkedin.com/edu/school?id=12714]] BA [[Modern European Studies-http://www.linkedin.com/search?search=%26keywords=Modern+European+Studies%26sortCriteria=R%26keepFacets=true%26trk=prof-edu-field\_of\_study]])-[[Has US Military Power Made it Unchallengeable?-http://www.e-ir.info/2013/06/14/has-us-military-power-made-it-unchallengeable/]]- [[http://www.e-ir.info/2013/06/14/has-us-military-power-made-it-unchallengeable/-http://www.e-ir.info/2013/06/14/has-us-military-power-made-it-unchallengeable/]]

The United States is the dominant world force in terms of conventional military power,

AND

present problems for the US and potential lines of attack for asymmetric opponents.

America remains vulnerable both in its overseas campaigns, as well as at home in

AND

realign its forces to suit the arena in which today~’s battles are fought.

Overuse of drone attacks has massively skewed our military focus on air power solutions= US hegemony is currently off balance.

[[Daniel Harper-http://www.e-ir.info/author/daniel-harper/]] -2013-(Cabinet Office Policy Advisory-[[University of Nottingham-http://www.linkedin.com/edu/school?id=12714]]- [[Modern European Studies-http://www.linkedin.com/search?search=%26keywords=Modern+European+Studies%26sortCriteria=R%26keepFacets=true%26trk=prof-edu-field\_of\_study]])-[[Has US Military Power Made it Unchallengeable?-http://www.e-ir.info/2013/06/14/has-us-military-power-made-it-unchallengeable/]]- [[http://www.e-ir.info/2013/06/14/has-us-military-power-made-it-unchallengeable/-http://www.e-ir.info/2013/06/14/has-us-military-power-made-it-unchallengeable/]]

Post-RMA, the distribution of resources is skewed massively towards air power,

AND

Belgrade,[[~~[77~~]-http://www.e-ir.info/2013/06/14/has-us-military-power-made-it-unchallengeable/]] and by friendly fire incidents in Afghanistan.[[~~[78~~]-http://www.e-ir.info/2013/06/14/has-us-military-power-made-it-unchallengeable/]]

US over-reliance on air power ensures US vulnerability to asymmetrical attacks.

[[Daniel Harper-http://www.e-ir.info/author/daniel-harper/]] -2013-(Cabinet Office Policy Advisory-[[University of Nottingham-http://www.linkedin.com/edu/school?id=12714]]- [[Modern European Studies-http://www.linkedin.com/search?search=%26keywords=Modern+European+Studies%26sortCriteria=R%26keepFacets=true%26trk=prof-edu-field\_of\_study]])-[[Has US Military Power Made it Unchallengeable?-http://www.e-ir.info/2013/06/14/has-us-military-power-made-it-unchallengeable/]]- [[http://www.e-ir.info/2013/06/14/has-us-military-power-made-it-unchallengeable/-http://www.e-ir.info/2013/06/14/has-us-military-power-made-it-unchallengeable/]]

The post-RMA US~’ reliance on air power can also be undermined by the

AND

a war – and unravelling this assumption can weaken morale and public support.

US vulnerability to asymmetric warfare increases the risk of WMD attacks

[[Daniel Harper-http://www.e-ir.info/author/daniel-harper/]] -2013-(Cabinet Office Policy Advisory-[[University of Nottingham-http://www.linkedin.com/edu/school?id=12714]]- [[Modern European Studies-http://www.linkedin.com/search?search=%26keywords=Modern+European+Studies%26sortCriteria=R%26keepFacets=true%26trk=prof-edu-field\_of\_study]])-[[Has US Military Power Made it Unchallengeable?-http://www.e-ir.info/2013/06/14/has-us-military-power-made-it-unchallengeable/]]- [[http://www.e-ir.info/2013/06/14/has-us-military-power-made-it-unchallengeable/-http://www.e-ir.info/2013/06/14/has-us-military-power-made-it-unchallengeable/]]

The challenges to the US military that I have outlined do not even include other

AND

can make it possible to bring down an adversary far mightier than oneself.

A NEW WMD TERRORIST ATTACK IN THE U.S. WILL TRIGGER RETALIATION THAT WILL KILL 100 MILLION PEOPLE

Greg Easterbrook, senior editor with THE NEW REPUBLIC, November 2001, p. www.cnn.com/TRANSCRIPTS/0111/01/gal.00.html. (UNDRG/C324)

Terrorists may not be held by this, especially suicidal terrorists, of the kind

AND

bombs rained down on every conceivable military target in a dozen Muslim countries.

THIS WILL ESCALATE TO MASS EXTINCTION VIA GLOBAL NUCLEAR WAR

Mohamed Sid-Ahmed, Al-Ahram Weekly political analyst, 2004

~~[Al-Ahram Weekly, "Extinction%21" 8/26, no. 705, http://weekly.ahram.org.eg/2004/705/op5.htm~~]

What would be the consequences of a nuclear attack by terrorists? Even if it

AND

When nuclear pollution infects the whole planet, we will all be losers.

Advantage Two: Rule of Law

Current targeting killing practices allow states to utilize similar precedents without recourse by eroding the rule of law – restraints needed now

Mark Maxwell - 2012 (Colonel, Judge Advocate with the U.S. Army) – Targeted Killing, The Law, and Terrorists – www.ndu.edu/press/lib/pdf/jfq-64\_122-130\_Maxwell.pdf 7/22/2013 —IM—

But allowing the President to order targeted killing without congressional limits means the President can

AND

eschews what gives a state its greatest safety: the rule of law.

Scenario One: China

No international norms on drone use enable China to strike Taiwan and draw the US into conflict

William Lowther May 6, 2013 "Chinese drones pose threat: experts" Taipei Times

[[http://www.taipeitimes.com/News/front/archives/2013/05/06/2003561559/1-http://www.taipeitimes.com/News/front/archives/2013/05/06/2003561559/1]]

With a direct eye on Taiwan, the Chinese military may be moving into the

AND

be there to help and that Japan and others would also support Taiwan.

A US China conflict over Taiwan will go nuclear

Lowther, 2013 (March 16th, William Lowther is a staff reporter on international affairs) Tapieitimes: "Taiwan could spark nuclear war: report"

[[http://www.taipeitimes.com/News/taiwan/archives/2013/03/16/2003557211-http://www.taipeitimes.com/News/taiwan/archives/2013/03/16/2003557211]]

(Accessed August 25, 2013) –MP-

Taiwan is the most likely potential crisis that could trigger a nuclear war between China

AND

uncertain endeavor, could be especially difficult in any conflict," it says.

Scenario Two: Genocide

Current action by the United States eviscerates the concept of national sovereignty, justifying other nations to follow in route effectively legitimizing genocide

Rosa Brooks – 2013 –(Professor of Law, Georgetown University Law Center) "The Constitutional and Counterterrorism Implications of Targeted Killing Testimony Before the Senate Judiciary Subcommittee on the Constitution, Civil Rights, and Human Rights" http://scholarship.law.georgetown.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1115%26context=cong 7/26/2013 —IM—

Once the United States decides that Person X can be targeted, the principle of

AND

them to justify the killing of dissidents, rivals, or unwanted minorities?

Genocide threatens extinction

Diamond 92(Diamond, THE THIRD CHIMPANZEE, 1992, p. 277)

While our first association to the world "genocide" is likely to be the

AND

means by which the human species may reverse all its progress virtually overnight.

Plan Text: The United States Congress will require pre approval and post review for targeted killing

Contention One: Solvency

Plan~’s increases legal and political legitimacy while ensuring ample presidential flexibility in responding to threats.

Hoover Institution Journal-2013-Robert Chesney, [[Jack Goldsmith-http://www.hoover.org/fellows/9039]], [[Matthew Waxman-http://www.hoover.org/fellows/9255]], and [[Benjamin Wittes-Benjamin Wittes]]-A Statutory Framework for Next-Generation Terrorist Threats- [[http://www.hoover.org/publications/monographs/141271-http://www.hoover.org/publications/monographs/141271]]

While we believe there will be a need for a new AUMF, and while

AND

later with constraints that dangerously hamper the President~’s agility to respond to threats.

Pre %26 post process restricts use and increases accountability.

Anna Mulrine- 2013-(Staff writer)-Would a US ~’drone court~’ to authorize drone strikes be a good idea?

[[http://www.csmonitor.com/USA/DC-Decoder/2013/0524/Would-a-US-drone-court-to-authorize-drone-strikes-be-a-good-idea-video-http://www.csmonitor.com/USA/DC-Decoder/2013/0524/Would-a-US-drone-court-to-authorize-drone-strikes-be-a-good-idea-video]]

The proliferation of drone strikes in recent years prompts a much greater need for oversight

AND

, senior transatlantic fellow at the German Marshall Fund of the United States.

Plan is the first step towards rebalancing our military approach to fight the new era of terrorism.

[[HAYES BROWN-http://thinkprogress.org/author/hbrown/]] 2013-(is a National Security Reporter/Blogger with ThinkProgress.org. Prior to joining ThinkProgress, Hayes worked as a contractor at the Department of Homeland Security.)-[[Obama Lays Out Plan To End The War Against Al Qaeda-http://thinkprogress.org/security/2013/05/23/2055331/obama-aumf-repeal/]]-[[http://thinkprogress.org/security/2013/05/23/2055331/obama-aumf-repeal/-http://thinkprogress.org/security/2013/05/23/2055331/obama-aumf-repeal/]]

Congress recently began its [[first set of hearings-http://thinkprogress.org/security/2013/05/16/2021811/al-qaeda-aumf/]] into possible revisions of the AUMF,

AND

of Obama~’s administration that the battle against al Qaeda cannot go on indefinitely.

That desire to eventually repeal the AUMF makes up the cornerstone of the counterterrorism strategy Obama laid out today. The current Obama administration approach to conducting targeting killing and other portions that strategy were only [[just recently codified-http://thinkprogress.org/security/2013/05/22/2051261/holder-letter-drones-targeted-killing/]], as Obama acknowledged in his remarks. In it, the use of drone strikes and other applications of force will be streamlined to a [[more limited set of targets-http://thinkprogress.org/security/2013/05/23/2052441/obama-gitmo-targeted-killing/]], with a higher level of scrutiny applied when determining those targets, while a renewed focus on the other elements of preventing terrorism will be implemented. "We cannot use force everywhere that a radical ideology takes root." Obama explained, laying out an argument for the expansion of U.S. efforts to "~~[address~~] the underlying grievances and conflicts that feed extremism." To drive home the point, Obama noted that the cost of a month during the Iraq War could pay for "training security forces in Libya, maintaining peace agreements between Israel and its neighbors, feeding the hungry in Yemen, building schools in Pakistan, and creating reservoirs of goodwill that marginalize extremists."