#### Courts and Congress won’t exercise true restraint over the executive – it will be lip service restraint at best and re-affirms executive power granting legitimacy to sovereign manipulation of law.

Eric A. Posner [Kirkland & Ellis Distinguished Service Professor of Law] AND Adrian Vermeule [Jr. Professor of Law at Harvard Law School] “The Executive Unbound: After the Madisonian Republic”, Oxford: Oxford University Press, USA, 2010 [Questia] pp 3-5

Some commentators argue…with the law.2

#### Manipulated sovereignty creates shifts from mere governmentality and biopolitics to death-centric thanatopolitics which collapses the distinction between liberal democracy and authoritarianism

Lindsay Anne Hall [MA Political Science] “Death, Power, and the Body: A Bio-political Analysis of Death and Dying” May 7, 2007 (Research paper presented to faculty of the Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University)¶ http://scholar.lib.vt.edu/theses/available/etd-05152007-134833/unrestricted/etd.pdf

Agamben, on the…really worth preserving.

#### The alternative is a process that rejects that affirmative’s mechanism of authority shifting between sovereign branches. Voting negative is a way engage in micro-political resistance against sovereign manipulation of law that creates the guise of restrictions that serve a larger purpose of granting legitimacy to sovereign exceptions in law

Bent Flyvbjerg [Aalborg University, Department of Development and Planning] and Tim Richardson [University of Sheffield, Department of Town and Regional Planning \*\*Dept of Planning is broad studying the development of society with emphasis in social science\*\*] 2002— “Planning and Foucault In Search of the Dark Side of Planning Theory” http://flyvbjerg.plan.aau.dk/DarkSide2.pdf

Towards Foucault Instead…and structural issues.