# Speciesm Aff Monmouth

**We begin with the topical detainee in Abu Ghraib:**

Rossini 2006 ([Manuela: Postdoctoral Fellow in the Amsterdam School of Cultural Analysis, MAs in English and Critical and Cultural Theory, Ph.D. in English, Post-Doctoral Work in Gender Studies], “To the Dogs: Companion Speciesism and the New Feminist Materialism,” Kritikos, Vol 3. September 2006, ISSN: 1552-5112, d/l: [http://intertheory.org/rossini) //](http://intertheory.org/rossini%29%20/)be

**The desperate cry of** …..direct and disproportionate effects on animals.[26]

#### This year’s resolution focuses on the mechanisms of targeted killing without recognizing the form of the implicit target. It focuses on indefinite detention without the indefinitely detained. The enemy in the friend-enemy dichotomy is the foreign, the parasite, \*the animal\*. In this globalized world, the borders that divide the homeland from the foreign become nonsensical. Only the abstract concept of the animal, that which lacks humanity and thus has no access to society, can cope with diasporic bodies. The goal of war is to identify and eliminate the animal that leeches off of the lawful citizens of civilization.

Iveson 10/05/2013 ([Richard: PhD from the Centre for Cultural Studies at Goldsmiths, University of London; research interests include critical animal studies and animal liberation; continental philosophy (with focus on Nietzsche, Heidegger and Derrida); posthumanism amongst others.], "Zoogenesis: Thinking Encounter with Animals," pgs. 193-196, pdf) //be

Such problematising of the friend-enemy …..of the nonplace of¶ the Origin.

**The concept of the law was created to uphold the human as something worth protecting by whatever means necessary. Like the instability of humanity, the definition of war is constantly in flux, and is defined in ways that exclude certain conflicts from being discussed on this topic. This relegates the unintelligible species war and genocide that continues to this day to the periphery.**

**Kochi 9 (Tarik; Law, Culture, and the Humanities, “Species War: Law, Violence, and Animals”, 353-359)**

In everyday speech, …**of the Law of war resides species war**.

[Continues]

Grotius and Hobbes are sometimes …..**within the early modern juridical ordering of war.**

**Silence on these events is not a neutral act, but actively condones mass extermination. We need to bring these issues to light to promote the knowledge and skills necessary to challenge institutions of power.**

**Spiegel 1996 (Marjorie [founder for the Institute for the Development of Earth Awareness] The Dreaded Comparison: Human and Animal Slavery, New York, Mirror Books) p.69-77 //jl**

**What is at work here** ….violence and crave control over others.

#### The creation of purely animal characteristics allows comparisons that racialize the animal. This scientific racism puts marginalized groups into the zone of indistinction.

Fielder September 2013 ([Brigitte Nicole: PhD, English Language and Literature, Cornell University], American Quarterly, "Animal Humanism: Race, Species, and Affective Kinship in Nineteenth-Century Abolitionism," Volume 65, Number 3, pgs. 490-492, pdf) //be

Theories of scientific racism …..difference¶ between slaves and animals.

**Our project excavates a history of oppression grounded in hierarchies. The logic of specieism is intertwined with other discriminatory divisions created by race, capitalism, and patriarchy. We do not make root cause claims, but argue that we must focus on both the international institutions and personal actions that power this logic.**

**Best '07 ([Steven: Associate Professor, Departments of Humanities and Philosophy at the University of Texas, El Paso], Journal for critical Animal Studies, Volume V, Issue 2, "The Eternal Treblinka...Reviewed by Dr. Steven Best," Pages 2-5, 13-15, d/l:** [**http://www.drstevebest.org/EternalTriblenka.pdf**](http://www.drstevebest.org/EternalTriblenka.pdf)**) //be**

It is little understood that the first form of **oppression,….used to**¶ **transport and slaughter animals.**

#### Our advocacy is to start the painstaking work of jamming the anthropological machine that creates a fundamental caesurae between the human and animal. The machine is a set of ontological, scientific, and political considerations that determine the political value of bodies. Our advocacy recognizes both the importance of showing the emptiness of the categorizations that divide human and non-human, as well as removing the political power behind these divisions.

Stanescu 6/16/2008 ([James K.: Critical animal theorist, focused on poststructuralist and decolonial philosophy, as well as veganism / vegetarianism], Critical Animal, "On Agamben's The Open and biopolitics," d/l: http://criticalanimal.blogspot.com/2008/06/this-wasnt-formal-essay-but-some-notes.html) //be

Agamben introduces in the middle of The Open ….misspelled in both the English and Italian).

#### Reorienting our politics to include the foreign concepts fundamentally reorients the system.

**Shomura 10**

Chad, PhD Candidate/Dean’s Teaching Fellowship at Johns Hopkins Political Science, "*These Are Bad People" -Enemy Combatants and the Homopolitics of the "War on Terror"*, <http://muse.jhu.edu/journals/theory_and_event/v013/13.1.shomura.html#back>)//TR

**The "'war' on terror" ….exclusion become ones of ethical encounter.**

#### We would ask you to evaluate our advocacy as holistic instead of judging purely through the line-by-line. Our request for you to flow straight down affirms the indissoluble continuum through being to our speeches and through this debate round. We refuse the disciplinary thinking inherent to strict compartmentalization of arguments in line by line flowing, the separation of sheets of paper, and the overdetermination of the written over the spoken, which values tech over trying to find truth in debate.